

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/802,910	FUKUNARI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	KWOK W. LEE	2195	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) KWOK W. LEE.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Alan Schiavelli.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 18 March 2008

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

N/A

**Claims discussed:**

2, 3, 9 and 10

**Prior art documents discussed:**

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner suggested changing the phrasing of "execution monitoring start date and time item" to "item of execution monitoring start date and time" for claims 2-3 due to potential U.S.C. 112th 2nd paragraph issues. Phrasing for claims 9-10 were also suggested by the examiner to address U.S.C. 101 issues..